



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,098	11/19/2003	Donald Carroll Roc	4981C2C	7301

27752 7590 01/04/2007
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION
WINTON HILL BUSINESS CENTER - BOX 161
6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE
CINCINNATI, OH 45224

EXAMINER

STEPHENS, JACQUELINE F

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3761

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	01/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/717,098	ROE, DONALD CARROLL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jacqueline F. Stephens	3761	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10-6-06.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 10/6/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues Mason does not disclose a fecal material storage element that extends across the longitudinal axis of the disposable absorbent article. However, the fecal storage element 25 of Mason has laterally opposing longitudinal edges disposed on either side of the longitudinal axis as see in Figure 5. The claim does not require the fecal storage element is a single element. Both panels comprise the fecal storage element. The 'comprising' language used in the independent claims is inclusive or open-ended and does not exclude additional unrecited elements, compositional components, or steps.

As to the Meyer reference, applicant argues Meyer teaches away from the present invention in that Meyer teaches an easily compressed transport layer. The transport layer of Meyer actually selectively resiliently compresses and provides a desired pore size even when wet. This selective compressibility allows the pore size to temporarily decrease to allow liquids to be drawn through to the absorbent core. However, the transport layer maintains a pore size larger than the absorbent core where some material can be stored temporarily. There is no teaching that the pores collapse, which would be the opposite of the present invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1, 3, 5-11, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) or in the alternative under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mason USPN 3559648.

Regarding claims 1, 8, 9, and 14, Mason comprises a topsheet 33, a backsheet 34, and a fecal material storage element (pad 10 at area 25) intermediate the topsheet and backsheet, and an absorbent core 10 intermediate the fecal material storage element and backsheet (Figure 5). The fecal storage element has two major faces, a first major face (examiner has designated as F1) oriented towards the topsheet and a second major face (examiner has designated as F2) oriented towards the backsheet. Mason does not specifically disclose a trans-topsheet capacity greater than about 0.20

Art Unit: 3761

grams per square inch. However, the specification of the present invention on page 6, lines 1-22; page 7, line 16 through page 8, line 20; page 11, lines 5-7; and page 12, line 30 through page 13 line 29 sets forth the size of apertures in the topsheet and materials for the topsheet and fecal storage element necessary to obtain the desired trans-topsheet capacity. Mason at col. 1, lines 29-40 and lines 53-61; col. 2, lines 6-8, 23-27, 55-74; and col. 3, lines 4-19 teaches similar materials and apertures sizes for the fecal storage element and topsheet. Therefore, Mason inherently includes the claimed trans-topsheet capacity. When the structure recited in the reference is substantially identical to that of the claims of the instant invention, claimed properties or functions are presumed to be inherent (MPEP 2112-2112.01). A *prima facie* case of either anticipation or obviousness has been established when the reference discloses all the limitations of a claim except a property or function and the examiner can not determine whether or not the reference inherently possesses properties which anticipate or render obvious the claimed invention but has basis for shifting the burden of proof as in *In re Fitzgerald*, 619 F.2d 67, 70 205 USPQ 594, 596 (CCPA 1980). In the present case, the reference has met the structural requirements of claim 1.

Regarding claim 3, see, col. 1, lines 34-35 and col. 2, lines 54-56.

Regarding claims 5, the fecal material storage element comprises a cellulosic fibrous structure (col. 2, lines 6-9).

Regarding claim 6, Mason discloses the aperture side in the topsheet ranges from 0.25 to 0.5 square inches (col. 3, lines 12-15), which includes the range of at least 0.3 square mm.

Regarding claim 7, the absorbent article of Mason is a three-dimensional structure. Even if the article was substantially flattened under pressure, it would still be considered a three-dimensional structure.

Regarding claims 10 and 11, Mason teaches the topsheet can have substantially large apertures and apertures of varying sizes, especially in a central region in order to allow semisolid waste material to penetrate to the absorbent layers. Mason does not disclose the exact area of the article having the trans-topsheet capacity. However, Mason recognizes the area having large apertures can be varied and this will affect the ability of the article to handle fecal material (col. 1, lines 53-56, col. 3, lines 4-19). Mason, therefore recognizes the ability to handle fecal material is a result effective variable of size and distribution of the apertures in the topsheet. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the article with the claimed area of trans-topsheet capacity, since discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art.

5. Claims 2, 4, 12, 13, and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mason in view of Matthews et al. USPN 4397644.

Regarding claims 4, 12, 17, and 20, Mason discloses the present invention substantially as claimed, see discussion supra. However, Mason fails to disclose the topsheet is bonded to the fecal material storage element at discrete sites. Matthews discloses an absorbent article comprising an apertured topsheet and absorbent layer 14a (col. 3, lines 41-45 and col. 5, lines 25-50) capable of storing low viscosity fecal

Art Unit: 3761

material. Matthews discloses the integration of the topsheet and fecal storage element is at discrete bond sites of up to 2 cm apart (col. 3, lines 56-63), which is included in the range of 0.5-7.6 cm. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to bond the topsheet and fecal material storage element of Mason at discrete sites leaving open areas in the range taught by Matthews. Doing so would provide sufficient integration of the topsheet and fecal material storage element without creating an unpleasantly stiff diaper, which Matthews teaches is to be avoided (col. 5, lines 6-24).

Regarding claims 2 and 18, Mason discloses the present invention substantially as claimed. However, Mason does not disclose the topsheet is treated to be hydrophilic. Matthews teaches an absorbent article in which the topsheet is treated to be hydrophilic (col. 4, lines 19-21). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the topsheet of Mason to be hydrophilic as taught in Matthews. Doing so would provide a means for improving the flow of fluids to the storage element.

Regarding claim 19, see, '648 col. 1, lines 34-35 and col. 2, lines 54-56.

6. Claims 13, 15, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mason in view of Matthews as applied to claim 4 above and further in view of Jones USPN 3593717 and further in view of Meyer USPN 4798603.

Regarding claim 13, Mason/Matthews shows the fecal material storage element comprises blind holes ('644 Figures 2-4 and col. 4, lines 19-22). However, Mason/Matthews does not disclose the depth of the holes. Jones teaches an absorbent article comprising an apertured topsheet and an absorbent layer (Figures 1-5c, col. 2, lines 27-66 and col. 3, lines 1-9 and 17-51) capable of storing low viscosity fecal material comprising blind holes having a depth of at least 0.75 mm (col. 4, lines 63-71). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apertures of Mason/Matthews to have a depth of at least 0.75 mm as taught in Jones. Doing so would provide a fecal storage element capable of receiving low viscous fluids without leaking.

Mason/Matthews/Jones fails to disclose the depth exist while the storage element is under a load of 31.6 grams per square centimeter. Meyer discloses an apertured layer 18 capable of storage low viscosity material (col. 7, line 63 through col. 8, line 21) that is capable of resiliently compressing during normal use at a pressure of 0.2-0.5 psi (14.06-35.15 g/cm²) approximately that which is normally applied during use by the wearer (col. 10, lines 46-52). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Mason/Matthews/Jones to maintain the blind-hole depth at the compression level taught by Meyer. Doing so would provide a fecal management element capable of maintaining its dimensions during use.

Regarding claim 15, Mason/Matthews/Jones/Meyer discloses the present invention substantially as claimed, see discussion supra, except for at least fifty percent

Art Unit: 3761

of the surface area of the second major surface of the fecal storage element being bonded to the core. However, Mason/Matthews/Jones/Meyer recognizes the amount of contact between the fecal storage element and absorbent core can be varied and this will affect the fluid transfer communication from the transport layer to the absorbent body ('603 col. 6, line 64 through col. 7 line 3). Mason/Matthews/Jones/Meyer, therefore recognizes the ability to handle fecal material is a result effective variable of the bonding between the topsheet, fecal storage element and absorbent core. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the article with the claimed percentage of bonding, since discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art.

Regarding claim 16, the rejection of claims 10 and 11 also applies here.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of


Art Unit: 3761

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacqueline F. Stephens whose telephone number is (571) 272-4937. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tanya Zalukaeva can be reached on (571) 272-1115. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-8300 for regular communications and (571) 273-8300 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.


Jacqueline F Stephens
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3761

December 22, 2006